

REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted supplementary to the previous Amendment and in connection with the interview with the Examiner.

During the interview the Examiner indicated that it would be advisable to identify the users, and also to more specifically define method steps in claim 18.

With the present communication applicant amended claim 1, the broadest claim on file, by additionally defining the users, in particular by the features defined in claim 17, which claim 17 has been cancelled.

Claim 11, the broadest method claim, has been amended to define the specific steps of the inventive method in accordance with the present invention, and also to identify the users similarly to the identification provided in claim 1.

It is believed that claims 11 and 18 are now specific in regard to the users. It is also respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 18 clearly and patentably distinguish the present invention from the prior art applied against the original claims.

As for the dependent claims, these claims depend on the corresponding independent claims, they share their allowable features, and therefore they should be allowed as well.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application with all the claims currently on file is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance; he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Striker', is positioned above the printed name.

Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 27233